



*Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop.*  
Vol. (32) No. 3 pp 490-510,  
2012

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

**IMPACT OF INORGANIC N AND COMPOST ENRICHED WITH  
SOME BACTERIAL STRAINS ON FRUITING OF SUPERIOR  
AND FLAME SEEDLESS GRAPEVINES AS WELL AS ACTIVITY  
OF DEHYDROGENASE ENZYME IN THE SOIL**

Aida M. A. Allam<sup>\*</sup>, F. F. Ahmed<sup>\*\*</sup>, N. N. El- Hefnawy<sup>\*</sup>;  
M. A. El- Hewety<sup>\*</sup> and H. A. El- Khafagy<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Environmental Studies & Res. Instit., Sadat City, Minufiya Univ.,  
Egypt. <sup>\*\*</sup>Hort. Dept. Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.

Received 4 June 2012

Accepted 21 June 2012

**ABSTRACT**

Grapevine cvs Superior and Flame seedless were fertilized with the suitable N as 100% inorganic N, 50% inorganic N plus solid compost during 2009 & 2010 seasons. Compost tea also was used via soil or via foliage with or without biofertilization with three strains of bacteria namely *Azospirillum sp* & *Bacillus megaterium* & *Bacillus cereulans*. The study focused on examining the impact of these treatments on total chlorophylls, vine nutritional status, and fruiting in such two grape cvs as well as dehydrogenase activity in the soil.

Results showed that supplying the vines with N via 50% inorganic N plus compost via solid state as well as compost tea via soil or via foliage with or without biofertilization contributed in favorable results. These results represented in enhancing total chlorophylls, leaf content of N, P and K, yield, berries quality and dehydrogenase activity in the soil comparing with using N completely via inorganic form. Application of N through the three sources (inorganic, organic and bioforms) was preferable than using one or two sources of N alone in this respect.

Supplying Superior and Flame seedless grapevines with the suitable N (10 g/ vine/ year) through 50% inorganic + 50% solid compost + biofertilization with *Azospirillum sp* & *Bacillus megaterium* & *Bacillus cereulans* supported fruiting and

**Aida M. A. Allam *et al.***

**stimulated the activity of dehydrogenase in the soil for fixation of N.**

### **INTRODUCTION**

Many trials were conducted for increasing the efficiency of N fertilization by using organic sources and some microorganisms as a partial replacement of mineral N in fruit orchards. Adjusting the uptake of N by organic and biofertilization was accompanied with enhancing yield as well as physical and chemical characteristics of the grapes. In addition, organic farming is responsible for reducing pollution in our environmental.

Previous studies showed that application of N via the three forms (inorganic, organic and bioforms) was very effective in stimulating soil fertility, growth and fruiting of different grapevine cvs comparing with using N via inorganic form only (Dahama, 1999; Kannaiyan, 2002; Seleem- Basma and Telep, 2008; Eman *et al.*, 2008; Masoud *et al.*, 2008; Abada, 2009; Madian, 2010, Abada *et al.*, 2010; Abd El-Hameed *et al.*, 2010; Abd El- Aziz, 2011; Refaai; 2011; Uwakiem, 2011 and Ahmed *et al.*, 2012).

The target of this study was adjusting the best inorganic, organic and biofertilization treatment that responsible for improving productivity of grapevine cvs Superior and Flame seedless.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was carried out during 2009 and 2010 seasons on 126 vines of flame seedless and superior grapevines. Each variety was represented by vines. The treated vines were uniform in vigour 3-years old seedless and grown in Community Service and Environmental Studies and Res. Instit. farm, Sadat City, Minufiya Univ. Both cvs are table grafted onto freedom rootstock. The texture of the soil is sandy, well drained and water table is not less than two meters deep. Soil analysis was done according to method of Chapman and Pratt (1965) and the obtained data are shown in Table (1).

Winter pruning was achieved in first week of January of each season by using cane pruning system. In case of grapevine cv. Superior, 64 eyes (eight fruiting canes x seven eyes plus four renewal spurs x two eyes) were left, while spur pruning system was applied

## Impact of inorganic n and compost enriched with bacterial strains on seedless grapevines

on grapevine cv. On the other hand, pruning of flame seedless was achieved through leaving 14 eyes (fourteen fruiting spurs x four eyes plus four replacement spurs x two eyes). Supporting system shape for both Superior and Flame seedless grapevine cvs was followed. Vines of both grapevine cvs are planted at 2.0 (between vines) x 3.0 m (between rows) apart. Drip irrigation system using well water with 800 ppm salinity was followed.

**Table 1: Analysis of the tested soil:**

| Constituents                                 | Values  |
|--|---------|
| <b>Particle size distribution:</b>           |         |
| <b>Sand %</b>                                | : 76.0  |
| <b>Clay %</b>                                | : 13.0  |
| <b>Silt %</b>                                | : 10.0  |
| <b>CaCO<sub>3</sub> %</b>                    | : 1.29  |
| <b>pH (1:2.5 extract)</b>                    | : 7.98  |
| <b>E.C (1:2.5 extract) mmhos/ 1 cm 25° C</b> | : 1.09  |
| <b>O.M. %</b>                                | : 0.08  |
| <b>Total N %</b>                             | : 1.08  |
| <b>Available P (Olsen method) ppm</b>        | : 4.2   |
| <b>Available K (ammonium acetate) ppm</b>    | : 142.3 |

Except those dealing with the present treatments, all the selected vines (126 vines for both cvs) received the usual horticultural practices which are common used in the vineyard.

This experiment included the following seven treatments:

1. Application of the suitable N (80 g./ vine/ yr) completely via inorganic form namely ammonium nitrate (33.3 % N) by rate 240 g./ vine/ yr.
2. Application of the suitable N via inorganic form at 00 % (120 g./ vine/ yr) + spraying tea compost at 10 %.
3. Application of the suitable N via inorganic form at 00 % (120 g./ vine/ yr) + 00 % solide compost (1.9 kg. compost/ vine/ yr).
4. Application of the suitable N via inorganic form at 00 % (120 g./ vine/ yr) + tea compost via soil at 10 %.
5. Application of the suitable N via inorganic form at 00 % (120 g./ vine/ yr) + spraying tea compost at 10 % + soil addition of the three strains of bacteria namely *Azospirillum sp* & *Bacillus megaterium* & *Bacillus cerculanse* on the basis of one liter from each bacteria culture (10<sup>7</sup> cells)/ vine/ yr.

**Aida M. A. Allam *et al.***

٦. Application of the suitable N via inorganic form at ٥٠ % (١٢٠ g./ vine/ yr) + ٥٠ % solide compost (١.٩ kg. compost/ vine/ yr) + soil addition of the three strains of bacteria as mentioned above.
٧. Application of the suitable N via inorganic form at ٥٠ % (١٢٠ g./ vine/ yr) + tea compost via soil at ١٠ % + soil addition of the three strains of bacteria as previously mentioned.

Each treatment was replicated three times, three vine each.

**Preparation of tea compost:-**

Tea compost was prepared by weighing ١٠ kg compost + ٧٥٠ g molase + ١٠.٠ g. sodium chloride + ٥٥.٠ g magnesium sulphate per ١٠٠ liters water. The mixture was left stand for three days then continuously stirring and used in the fourth day (according to Ryan, ٢٠٠٣).

Solide compost (Table ٢) was applied once via soil at ١.٩ kg./ vine/ yr before sprouting of eyes (mid. of Feb.) Tea compost was applied either via foliage or via soil. In case of foliage application it was sprayed four times at growth start (١<sup>st</sup> week of Mar.), before first bloom (last week of Mar.), just after berry setting (١<sup>st</sup> week of may) and at one month later when average equatorial of berries reached ٤ mm (١<sup>st</sup> week of June). However, in case of soil application, tea compost was applied twice (five liters/ each) at growth (١<sup>st</sup> week of Mar.) and just after berry setting (١<sup>st</sup> week of May).

**Table ٢: analysis of compost:**

| character                             | Values  |
|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Moisture %                            | : ٢٦.٦  |
| pH (١:٢.٥ extract)                    | : ٨.٢   |
| E.C (١: ٢.٥ extract)                  | : ٤.١   |
| O.M. %                                | : ٦٥.٠  |
| Total N %                             | : ٢.١٥  |
| Total P %                             | : ١.٥   |
| Total K %                             | : ١.٣   |
| <b>Available micronutrients (ppm)</b> |         |
| Fe                                    | : ١٠٢.٥ |
| Mn                                    | : ١١٥.٠ |
| Cu                                    | : ١٨٠.٠ |
| Zn                                    | : ٢٨.٠  |

## **Impact of inorganic n and compost enriched with bacterial strains on seedless grapevines**

With concerning bio fertilization preparation, each culture of the three strains namely *Azospirillum sp* & *Bacillus megaterium* & *Bacillus cerculans* was obtained alone. Each ml of culture contains  $10^9$  cells bacteria.

Each culture was diluted through adding one ml in one liter water. Total counts of bacteria cells in one ml of the dilution culture reached  $10^7$  cells. Each vine received one liter of a mixture having the three cultures (one liter each) mixing the three liters. These bio fertilizers were added twice, the first was before growth start while, the second was just after berry setting. Inorganic N source namely ammonium nitrate (33.3 % N) was divided into three unequal and applied batches as 0.0 %, 3.0 % and 2.0 % on the first week of March, April and May, respectively.

Complete randomized block design was followed. Each treatment was replicated three times, three vines each.

The following measurements were recorded during the two experimental seasons.

Chlorophylls a & b and total chlorophylls were determined as mg/ 100g. F. W.. Total according to method of Fadl and Seri El-Deen, (1978). Twenty leaves picked from those opposite to the basal clusters (According to Balo *et al.*, 1988) for each vine for determining of N, P, and K percentages according to method of Chapman and Pratt (1960).

Harvesting took place when T.S.S./ acid ratio in the berries of the check treatment reached at least 20:1 (at the middle of July in both seasons) according to Weaver, (1976). The yield of each vine was recorded in terms of weight (in kg.).

Five clusters from each vine were randomly taken for determination of cluster weight (g.), berry weight (g.), total soluble solids % and total acidity (as g. tartaric acid/ 100 ml juice) (A.O.A.C., 1990).

Dehydrogenase activity was determined by TTC method Paul and Clark, (1996).

All the obtained data were tabulated and statistically analyzed using new L.S.D. at 5 % for made all comparisons among the investigated treatment means according to Mead *et al.* (1993).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 1- Total chlorophylls and percentages of N, P and K:

It is clear from the data in Tables (3 & 4) that supplying Superior and Flame seedless grapevines with the suitable N (1.5 g/ vine/ year) via 50 % inorganic + compost (as solide or as compost tea via foliage or via soil) with or without biofertilization with the three bacterial strains namely *Azospirillum sp* & *Bacillus megaterium* & *Bacillus cerculanse* significantly was resulted in improving total chlorophylls and percentages of N, P and K in the leaves in relative to using N completely via inorganic form.

**Table 3: Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilization on total chlorophylls (mg/ 100 g. F.W.) and percentage of N in the leaves of Superior and Flame seedless grapevines during 2009 and 2010 seasons.**

| Inorganic, organic and biofertilization treatments        | Superior cv.                         |       |          |      | Flame seedless cv.                   |       |          |      |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------|----------|------|--------------------------------------|-------|----------|------|
|   | Total chlorophylls (mg/ 100 g. F.W.) |       | Leaf N % |      | Total chlorophylls (mg/ 100 g. F.W.) |       | Leaf N % |      |
|   | 2009                                 | 2010  | 2009     | 2010 | 2009                                 | 2010  | 2009     | 2010 |
| 1- 100 % N completely via inorganic form                  | 88.5                                 | 89.2  | 1.97     | 2.02 | 87.5                                 | 88.5  | 1.73     | 1.77 |
| 2- 50 % inorganic + spraying tea compost                  | 95.2                                 | 97.7  | 2.10     | 2.13 | 91.1                                 | 92.2  | 1.73     | 1.77 |
| 3- 50 % inorganic N + 50 % N via solide compost           | 101.5                                | 106.6 | 2.20     | 2.25 | 98.2                                 | 101.2 | 1.85     | 1.88 |
| 4- 50 % inorganic N + soil addition of tea compost        | 109.2                                | 115.5 | 2.32     | 2.37 | 105.8                                | 109.7 | 2.05     | 2.10 |
| 5- 50 % inorganic N + 50 % N via spraying tea compost + S | 115.2                                | 122.8 | 2.52     | 2.55 | 112.2                                | 117.1 | 2.17     | 2.22 |

**Impact of inorganic n and compost enriched with bacterial strains  
on seedless grapevines**

|   |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 7- 5% inorganic N + 5%<br>N via solide compost + S      | 132.3 | 139.4 | 176.4 | 176.6 | 139.0 | 132.5 | 172.4 | 175.0 |
| V- 5% inorganic N + soil<br>addition of tea compost + S | 133.4 | 139.3 | 175.7 | 175.5 | 130.3 | 132.0 | 172.9 | 173.4 |
| New L.S.D at 5%   | 1.9   | 1.7   | 0.6   | 0.6   | 1.5   | 1.4   | 0.8   | 0.8   |

S = Strains of bacteria namely *Azospirillum sp.* & *Bacillus megaterium* & *Bacillus cereulanse*.

**Table 4: Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilization on the percentages of phosphorus and potassium in the leaves of Superior and Flame seedless grapevines during 2009 and 2010 seasons.**

| Inorganic, organic and biofertilization treatments        | Superior cv. |      |          |      | Flame seedless cv. |      |          |      |
|---|--------------|------|----------|------|--------------------|------|----------|------|
|   | Leaf P %     |      | Leaf K % |      | Leaf P %           |      | Leaf K % |      |
|   | 2009         | 2010 | 2009     | 2010 | 2009               | 2010 | 2009     | 2010 |
| 1- 100 % N completely via inorganic form                  | 0.18         | 0.19 | 1.47     | 1.49 | 0.16               | 0.16 | 1.29     | 1.33 |
| 2- 50 % inorganic + spraying tea compost                  | 0.21         | 0.22 | 1.56     | 1.57 | 0.18               | 0.18 | 1.39     | 1.44 |
| 3- 50 % inorganic N + 50 % N via solide compost           | 0.23         | 0.25 | 1.75     | 1.77 | 0.22               | 0.22 | 1.51     | 1.56 |
| 4- 50 % inorganic N + soil addition of tea compost        | 0.25         | 0.28 | 1.75     | 1.77 | 0.26               | 0.26 | 1.70     | 1.76 |
| 5- 50 % inorganic N + 50 % N via spraying tea compost + S | 0.28         | 0.31 | 1.85     | 1.86 | 0.30               | 0.31 | 1.79     | 1.75 |
| 6- 50 % inorganic N + 50 % N via solide compost + S       | 0.34         | 0.38 | 1.97     | 1.99 | 0.38               | 0.39 | 1.87     | 1.92 |
| 7- 50 % inorganic N + soil addition of tea compost + S    | 0.31         | 0.33 | 1.92     | 1.95 | 0.33               | 0.33 | 1.79     | 1.85 |
| New L.S.D at 5 %  | 0.02         | 0.02 | 0.05     | 0.06 | 0.03               | 0.03 | 0.06     | 0.06 |

S = Strains of bacteria namely *Azospirillum sp.* & *Bacillus megaterium* & *Bacillus cereulense*.

Application of N through 50 % inorganic + compost in any form with biofertilization was superior than using 50 % inorganic + compost in any form alone. Under unbiofertilization treatments, application of compost tea via soil was preferable than using solide compost or compost tea applied via foliage. Solide compost applied via soil was favourable under biofertilization treatments. The



## **Impact of inorganic n and compost enriched with bacterial strains on seedless grapevines**

maximum values were recorded on Superior or Flame seedless grapevines received N via 0. % inorganic + 0. % solide state of compost + biofertilization. Using N completely via inorganic form gave the lowest values. These results were similar during both seasons and in both grapevine cvs.

The essential roles of organic and biofertilization on enhancing soil fertility, microflora activity, natural hormones, antioxidants, vitamins B and antibiotics could results in enhancing the biosynthesis of plant pigments and uptake of different nutrients (Dahama, 1999 and Kannaiyan, 2002).

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Refaai (2011); Uwakiem (2011) and Ahmed *et al.*, (2012).

### **2- Yield and cluster weight:**

Data in Table (0) clearly showed that yield and cluster weight of Superior and Flame seedless grapevines were significantly improved by using N via 0. % inorganic + compost in any form (solide or compost tea via soil or foliage) with or without biofertilization rather than application of N completely via inorganic form. The best results were obtained with using N through 0. % inorganic + 0. % solide compost + biofertilization. Unorganic and unbiofertilization (using inorganic N alone) gave the lowest values. Similar trend was observed in grape cvs Superior and Flame seedless during both seasons.

The beneficial of organic and biofertilization on growth and vine nutritional status positively reflected on enhancing the yield.

These results are in agreement with those obtained by Refaai (2011); Uwakiem (2011) and Ahmed *et al.*, (2012).

### **3- Some physical and chemical characteristics of the grapes:**

Data in Tables (7 & 8) clearly revealed that using N through 0. % inorganic + compost in any form (solide as well as compost tea via foliage or via soil) + biofertilization showed a significant effect in enhancing quality of the berries in terms of increasing percentages of total soluble solids, total sugars and reducing total acidity % comparing with using N completely via inorganic form or using inorganic plus compost alone. Application of N through 0. % inorganic + 0. % solide compost via soil + biofertilization gave the

### **Aida M. A. Allam *et al.***

best results with regard to quality of the berries. Unfavourable effects on quality of the berries were recorded with using N completely via inorganic form. Similar trend was observed in grape cvs Superior and Flame seedless during 2009 and 2010 seasons.

The beneficial effects of organic and biofertilization on enhancing the biosynthesis of plant pigments could result in promoting quality of the berries.

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Refaai (2011); Uwakiem (2011) and Ahmed *et al.*, (2012).

#### **4- Dehydrogenase activity :**

It is clear from the data in Table (V) that supplying Superior and Flame seedless grapevines with the suitable N (8 g/ vine/ year) via 80 % inorganic + compost (solide as well as compost tea via foliage or via soil) with or without biofertilization of the tested bacterial strains significantly improved dehydrogenase activity in relative to using N completely via inorganic form.

Application of N through 80 % inorganic + compost in any form with biofertilization was superior than using 80 % inorganic + compost in any form alone in this respect. Under unbiofertilization treatments, application of compost tea via soil was preferable than using solide compost or compost tea applied via foliage. Solide compost applied via soil was favourable under biofertilization treatments. The maximum values were recorded on the soil of Superior or Flame seedless grapevines received N via 80 % inorganic + 80 % solide state of compost + biofertilization. Using N completely via inorganic form gave the lowest values. These results were similar during both seasons and in both grapevine cvs.

The essential roles of organic and biofertilization on enhancing soil fertility, microflora activity, natural hormones, antioxidants, vitamins B and antibiotics could resulted in enhancing dehydrogenase activity Dahama (1999) and Kannaiyan (2002).

These results are in approval with those obtained by Dahama, (1999) and Kannaiyan,( 2002)

## Impact of inorganic n and compost enriched with bacterial strains on seedless grapevines

As a conclusion, it is concluded to use N (1.5 g/ vine/ year) via 0.5 % inorganic + 0.5 % solide compost + biofertilization with *Azospirillum sp* & *Bacillus megaterium* & *Bacillus cerculanse* for improving productivity of Superior and Flame grapevines.

**Table 0: Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilization on the yield (kg.) per vine and cluster weight (g.) of Superior and Flame seedless grapevines during 2009 and 2010 seasons.**

| Inorganic, organic and biofertilization treatments          | Superior cv.      |      |                     |       | Flame seedless cv. |      |                     |       |
|---|-------------------|------|---------------------|-------|--------------------|------|---------------------|-------|
|   | Yield/ vine (kg.) |      | Cluster weight (g.) |       | Yield/ vine (kg.)  |      | Cluster weight (g.) |       |
|   | 2009              | 2010 | 2009                | 2010  | 2009               | 2010 | 2009                | 2010  |
| 1- 1.5 % N completely via inorganic form                    | 7.2               | 7.5  | 281.0               | 288.0 | 7.8                | 8.5  | 310.0               | 316.0 |
| 2- 0.5 % inorganic + spraying tea compost                   | 7.1               | 8.0  | 310.0               | 320.0 | 8.2                | 9.9  | 336.0               | 340.0 |
| 3- 0.5 % inorganic N + 0.5 % N via solide compost           | 7.8               | 8.7  | 337.0               | 347.0 | 9.2                | 11.5 | 366.0               | 371.0 |
| 4- 0.5 % inorganic N + soil addition of tea compost         | 7.8               | 9.5  | 321.0               | 352.0 | 9.2                | 12.5 | 371.0               | 379.0 |
| 5- 0.5 % inorganic N + 0.5 % N via spraying tea compost + S | 8.1               | 9.8  | 352.0               | 362.0 | 9.5                | 12.9 | 381.0               | 390.0 |
| 6- 0.5 % inorganic N + 0.5 % N via solide compost + S       | 8.8               | 11.8 | 381.0               | 382.0 | 9.9                | 12.8 | 395.0               | 410.0 |
| 7- 0.5 % inorganic N + soil addition of tea compost + S     | 8.5               | 10.8 | 371.0               | 372.0 | 9.6                | 13.5 | 382.0               | 385.0 |

**Aida M. A. Allam et al.**

|                  |     |     |      |      |     |     |      |      |
|------------------|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|------|------|
| New L.S.D at 5 % | ٠.٧ | ٠.٧ | ٢٥.٠ | ٢٣.٠ | ٠.٧ | ٠.٨ | ٢١.٠ | ٢١.٠ |
|------------------|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|------|------|

S = Strains of bacteria namely *Azospirillum sp.* & *Bacillus megaterium* & *Bacillus cereulanse*.

**Impact of inorganic n and compost enriched with bacterial strains on seedless grapevines**

**Table ٦: Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilization on the average berry weight (g.) and total soluble solids % in the grapes of Superior and Flame seedless grapevines during ٢٠٠٩ and ٢٠١٠ seasons.**

| Inorganic, organic and biofertilization treatments      | Superior cv.          |      |         |      | Flame seedless cv.    |      |         |      |
|---|-----------------------|------|---------|------|-----------------------|------|---------|------|
|   | Av. berry weight (g.) |      | T.S.S % |      | Av. berry weight (g.) |      | T.S.S % |      |
|   | ٢٠٠٩                  | ٢٠١٠ | ٢٠٠٩    | ٢٠١٠ | ٢٠٠٩                  | ٢٠١٠ | ٢٠٠٩    | ٢٠١٠ |
| ١- ١٠ % N completely via inorganic form                 | ٢.٢١                  | ٢.٢٤ | ١٧.٩    | ١٨.١ | ٢.٢٩                  | ٢.٢٣ | ١٨.٠    | ١٨.٢ |
| ٢- ٥ % inorganic + spraying tea compost                 | ٢.٢١                  | ٢.٢٤ | ١٨.٣    | ١٨.٦ | ٢.٤٠                  | ٢.٤٥ | ١٨.٤    | ١٨.٦ |
| ٣- ٥ % inorganic N + ٥ % N via solide compost           | ٢.٤٢                  | ٢.٤٥ | ١٨.٦    | ١٩.٠ | ٢.٥١                  | ٢.٥٦ | ١٨.٨    | ١٩.٠ |
| ٤- ٥ % inorganic N + soil addition of tea compost       | ٢.٥٣                  | ٢.٥٦ | ١٩.٠    | ١٩.٤ | ٢.٦٣                  | ٢.٦٨ | ١٩.٢    | ١٩.٥ |
| ٥- ٥ % inorganic N + ٥ % N via spraying tea compost + S | ٢.٦٤                  | ٢.٦٧ | ١٩.٥    | ٢٠.٠ | ٢.٧٥                  | ٢.٨٠ | ١٩.٧    | ٢٠.١ |
| ٦- ٥ % inorganic N + ٥ % N via solide compost + S       | ٢.٨٦                  | ٢.٩٠ | ٢٠.٣    | ٢٠.٦ | ٢.٩٦                  | ٢.٩٩ | ٢٠.٤    | ٢٠.٨ |
| ٧- ٥ % inorganic N + soil addition of tea compost + S   | ٢.٧٤                  | ٢.٧٧ | ١٩.٩    | ٢٠.٣ | ٢.٨٥                  | ٢.٨٨ | ٢٠.٠    | ٢٠.٣ |
| New L.S.D at ٥ %  | ٠.٩                   | ٠.٩  | ٠.٢     | ٠.٢  | ٠.٩                   | ٠.٩  | ٠.٣     | ٠.٢  |

S = Strains of bacteria namely *Azospirillum sp.* & *Bacillus megaterium* & *Bacillus cereulanse*.

**Table 4: Effect of inorganic, organic and biofertilization on the percentage of total acidity in the grapes and dehydrogenase activity  $\mu$  TPF/ 1 g. soil of Superior and Flame seedless grapevines during 2009 and 2010 seasons.**

| Inorganic, organic and biofertilization treatments        | Superior cv.    |       |   |       | Flame seedless cv. |       |  |       |
|---|-----------------|-------|---|-------|--------------------|-------|--|-------|
|   | Total acidity % |       | Dehydrogenase activity $\mu$ TPF/1 g. soil/1 hr |       | Total acidity %    |       | Dehydrogenase activity $\mu$ TPF/1 g. soil |       |
|   | 2009            | 2010  | 2009  | 2010  | 2009               | 2010  | 2009                                       | 2010  |
| 1- 100 % N completely via inorganic form                  | 0.718           | 0.732 | 0.007   | 0.010 | 0.720              | 0.727 | 0.029                                      | 0.031 |
| 2- 50 % inorganic + spraying tea compost                  | 0.780           | 0.789 | 0.069   | 0.110 | 0.788              | 0.792 | 0.092                                      | 0.095 |
| 3- 50 % inorganic N + 50 % N via solide compost           | 0.745           | 0.760 | 0.105   | 0.159 | 0.750              | 0.761 | 0.150                                      | 0.172 |
| 4- 50 % inorganic N + soil addition of tea compost        | 0.720           | 0.722 | 0.199   | 0.299 | 0.718              | 0.727 | 0.297                                      | 0.319 |
| 5- 50 % inorganic N + 50 % N via spraying tea compost + S | 0.700           | 0.689 | 0.215   | 0.275 | 0.680              | 0.692 | 0.515                                      | 0.575 |
| 6- 50 % inorganic N + 50 % N via solide compost + S       | 0.621           | 0.622 | 0.822   | 0.872 | 0.611              | 0.611 | 0.922                                      | 0.982 |
| 7- 50 % inorganic N + soil addition of tea compost + S    | 0.672           | 0.665 | 0.515   | 0.575 | 0.672              | 0.665 | 0.712                                      | 0.771 |
| New L.S.D at 5 %  | 0.025           | 0.028 | 0.035   | 0.042 | 0.030              | 0.032 | 0.045                                      | 0.049 |

## **Impact of inorganic n and compost enriched with bacterial strains on seedless grapevines**

S = Strains of bacteria namely *Azospirillum sp.* & *Bacillus megaterium* & *Bacillus cereulans*.

### **REFERENCES**

- Abada, M. A. M. (۲۰۰۹):** Reducing the amount of inorganic N fertilizers in Superior grape vineyard by using organic and biofertilizers and humic acid. Egypt J. Agric. Res., ۸۷ (۱): ۳۱۷ – ۳۴۴.
- Abada, M.A.M.; Ibrahim- Asmaa, A. and Bondok- Sawsan, A. (۲۰۱۰):** How to reduce problems of soil and irrigation water salinity in Superior vineyards? Minufiya. Agric. Res. Vol. ۳۰ No. ۴ (۲): ۱۹۷۷ – ۱۹۹۷.
- Abd El- Aziz, Y. Z. (۲۰۱۱):** Response of Thompson seedless grapevines to application of organic fertilizer humic acid and some bio fertilizers. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- Abd El- Hameed, H.M., Abada , M.A. and Seleem- Basma, M. (۲۰۱۰):** Reducing inorganic N fertilizer partially by using yeast, seaweed and farmyard manure extracts in Flame seedless Minia ۲<sup>nd</sup> Conf .Agric. Environ. Sci. pp ۸۱ – ۸۹.
- Ahmed, F. F.; Abd Elaal, A. M. K. and Masoud, A. A. B. (۲۰۱۲):** Attempts for reducing nitrite pollution in Ruby seedless grapes by using some organic manures enriched with EM. Minufiya J. Agric. Res. Vol. ۳۷ No. ۳: ۶۱۱ – ۶۱۹.
- Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (۱۹۹۵):** Official Methods of Analysis (A.O.A.C), ۱۲<sup>th</sup> ed., Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington D.C., U.S.A. pp. ۴۹۰ – ۵۱۰.
- Balo, E.; Prileszky, G.; Happ, L.; Kaholami, M. and Vega, L. (۱۹۸۸):** Soil improvement and the use of leaf analysis for forecasting nutrient requirements of grapes. Potash Rev. (Subject ۹, ۲<sup>nd</sup> suite, No. ۶۱).

**Aida M. A. Allam et al.**

- Chapman, H.D. and Pratt, P.E. (1960):** Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plant and Water. Univ. California, Div. Agric. Sci. 1, 100.
- Dahama, A. K. (1999):** Organic Farming for Sustainable Agriculture. Agro Botanic, Daryagun, New Delhi, India, Pp. 208.
- Eman, A. E.; Saleh, M. S. and Mostafa, E. A. M. (2008):** Minimizing the quantity of mineral nitrogen fertilizers on grapevine by using humic acid, organic and biofertilizers. Res. J. of Agric. and bio. Sci. 4: 1, 47 – 50.
- Fadl, M. S and Seri El- Deen, S. A. (1998):** Effect of N Benzyl adenine on photosynthesis pigments total sugars on olive seedling growth under saline condition, Res. Bull. No. 443, Fac. Agric. Ain shams Univ.
- Kannaiyan, S. (2002):** Biotechnology of Bio-fertilizers. Alpha Science Inter. Ltd., P.O. Box 4067 Pang Bourne p 64.
- Madian, A. E. M. (2010):** Adjusting the best source and proportion of mineral organic and bio nitrogen fertilizers on Red Roomy grapevines (*Vitis vinifera* L.). Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Masoud, S. E. Y. (2008):** Attempts for Alleviating the adverse effects of soil salinity on growth and fruiting of superior grapevines. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- Mead, R.; Currow, R. N. and Harted, A. M. (1993):** Statistical Methods in Agriculture and Experimental Biology. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Chapman & Hall, London. 50 – 70.
- Paul, E. A. and Clark, F. E. (1996):** Soil Microbiology and Biochemistry. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed Academic Press, San Diego p 1 – 206.
- Refaai, M. M. (2011):** Productive capacity of Thompson seedless grapevines in relation to some inorganic, organic and biofertilization as well as citric acid treatments. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- Ryan, M. (2003):** Compost tea production, application and benefits. Rodale Instit., U.S.D.A. P 5 – 10.



**Impact of inorganic n and compost enriched with bacterial strains  
on seedless grapevines**

**Seleem- Basma, M. and Telep, A.M. (٢٠٠٨):** Effect of organic and biofertilizers as a partial substitute for inorganic nitrogen in Superior grapevines. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. Vol. (٢٨) No. ١ pp. ٢٣ – ٣٥.

Aida M. A. Allam *et al.*

- Uwakiem, M. Kh. (٢٠١١): Effect of some organic, bio and slow release N fertilizers as well as some antioxidants on vegetative growth, yield and berries quality of Thompson seedless grapevines Ph. D, Thesis. Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- Weaver, R. J. (١٩٧٦): Grape Growing. A Wiley Interscience Publication John Wiley & Davis, New York, London, Sydney, Tronto pp. ١٦٠ – ١٧٥.

**تأثير النيتروجين الغير عضوي مع الكمبوست الملقح ببعض سلالات  
البكتريا علي الإثمار في كرمات العنب السوييريور والفليم سيدلس وعلي  
نشاط إنزيم الديهيدروجينيز في التربة\***

عايدة محمد علي علام\* - فيصل فاضل أحمد\*\* - نبيل نصر الحفناوي\*

محمد أحمد الحويطي\* - حمدي عبد الغني الخفاجي\*

\*معهد الدراسات والبحوث البيئية - مدينة السادات - جامعة المنوفية - مصر.

\*\*قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنيا - مصر.

تم تسميد كرمات العنب السوييريور والفليم سيدلس خلال موسمي ٢٠٠٩، ٢٠١٠ بالكمية المتلي من النيتروجين في صورة ١٠٠ % تسميد نيتروجيني غير عضوي ، ٧٥ % تسميد نيتروجيني غير عضوي بالاضافة الي الكمبوست في الصورة الصلبة أو مستخلص الكمبوست الذي يتم اضافته اما أرضيا أو من خلال الأوراق مع أو بدون التسميد الحيوي باستخدام ثلاثة سلالات من البكتريا هي *Azospirillum sp & Bacillus megaterium* و *Bacillus cerculanse* . ولقد تركزت الدراسة علي اختبار تأثير هذه المعاملات علي الكلوروفيل الكلي والحالة الغذائية للكرمات والإثمار في هذين الصنفين من أصناف العنب ونشاط إنزيم الديهيدروجينيز في التربة.

## Impact of inorganic n and compost enriched with bacterial strains on seedless grapevines

أشارت نتائج الدراسة أن تسميد الكرمات بالنيتروجين في صورة تسميد نيتروجيني غير عضوي بنسبة ٥٠ % بالإضافة الي استخدام الكميوست الصلب أو مستخلص الكميوست إما من خلال التربة أو رشا علي الأوراق مع أو بدون التسميد الحيوي باستخدام السلالات الثلاثة من البكتريا كان مصحوبا بزيادة الكلوروفيل الكلي ومحتوي الورقة من عناصر النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم وكمية المحصول وخصائص الجودة للحبات وكذلك نشاط إنزيم الديهيدروجينيز في التربة وذلك بالمقارنة باستخدام النيتروجين في الصورة النيتروجينية الغير عضوية بنسبة ١٠٠ % وكان استخدام جميع مصادر النيتروجين أفضل من استخدام مصدر أو مصدرين في هذا الصدد.

كما أشارت النتائج أن تسميد كرمات العنب السوييريور والفليم سيدلس بالكمية المثلي من النيتروجين (٨٠ جرام/ الكرمة/ السنة) من خلال ٥٠ % تسميد نيتروجيني غير عضوي + ٥٠ % كميوست صلب من خلال التربة والتسميد الحيوي بسلالات البكتريا الثلاثة *Azospirillum sp & Bacillus megaterium & Bacillus cerculanse* يعطي أفضل النتائج بخصوص الإثمار وكذلك تحسين نشاط إنزيم الديهيدروجينيز في التربة يعطي اللازم لتثبيت النيتروجين.